

**WEBSTER COUNTY METROPOLITAN
LAW ENFORCEMENT TELECOMMUNICATIONS BOARD**

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORTS
FINANCIAL STATEMENT AND
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS**

JUNE 30, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OFFICIALS		1
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT		2
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS		3-5
FINANCIAL STATEMENT	<u>Exhibit</u>	
Statement of Cash Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Cash Basis Net Assets – Governmental Funds	A	6
Notes to Financial Statement		7-9
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF A FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS		10-11
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS		12-13

**WEBSTER COUNTY METROPOLITAN
LAW ENFORCEMENT TELECOMMUNICATIONS BOARD**

OFFICIALS

<i>NAME</i>	<i>TITLE</i>	<i>REPRESENTING</i>
Kevin Doty	Board Chairperson	City of Fort Dodge
Chris Wendell	Board Member	City of Badger
Jeff Nemmers	Board Member	City of Fort Dodge
Tim Carmody	Board Member	City of Fort Dodge
Bruce McCormack	Board Member	City of Gowrie
Brian Mickelson	Board Member	Webster County
Bob Singer	Board Member	Webster County

**WEBSTER COUNTY METROPOLITAN
LAW ENFORCEMENT TELECOMMUNICATIONS BOARD**



SCHNURR & COMPANY, LLP
Certified Public Accountants and Consultants

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Members of the Webster County Metropolitan
Law Enforcement Telecommunications Board:

We have audited the accompanying financial statement of the Webster County Metropolitan Law Enforcement Telecommunications Board (Telecommunications Board) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Telecommunications Board's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statement based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with U. S. generally accepted auditing standards, and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As described in Note 1, this financial statement was prepared on the basis of cash receipts and disbursements, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the cash basis financial position for the Webster County Metropolitan Law Enforcement Telecommunications Board as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the changes in its cash basis financial position for the years then ended in conformity with the basis of accounting described in Note 1.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated March 25, 2013 on our consideration of the Telecommunications Board's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 3 through 5 is not a required part of the financial statement, but is supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. We did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Schnurr & Company, LLP

Fort Dodge, Iowa
March 25, 2013

**WEBSTER COUNTY METROPOLITAN
LAW ENFORCEMENT TELECOMMUNICATIONS BOARD**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Webster County Metropolitan Law Enforcement Telecommunications Board provides this Management's Discussion and Analysis of its financial statement. This narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the Webster County Metropolitan Law Enforcement Telecommunications Board is for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. We encourage readers to consider this information in conjunction with the Telecommunications Board's financial statement, which follows.

2012 FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- Operating receipts increased 4.79%, or \$19,164, from fiscal 2011 to fiscal 2012.
- Operating disbursements increased 1.95%, or \$7,921, from fiscal 2011 to fiscal 2012.
- Cash basis net assets increased 11.08%, or \$5,816, from June 30, 2011 to June 30, 2012.

USING THIS ANNUAL REPORT

The Telecommunications Board has elected to present its financial statement on the cash basis of accounting. The cash basis of accounting is a basis of accounting other than U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Basis of accounting refers to when financial events are recorded, such as the timing for recognizing revenues, expenses and the related assets and liabilities. Under the cash basis of accounting, revenues and expenses and the related assets and liabilities are recorded when they result from cash transactions.

As a result of the use of the cash basis of accounting, certain assets and their related revenues and liabilities and their related expenses are not recorded in the financial statement. Therefore, when reviewing the financial information and discussion within this annual report, readers should keep in mind the limitations resulting from the use of the cash basis of accounting.

The annual report is presented in a format consistent with the presentation of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 34, as applicable to the cash basis of accounting.

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the financial statement. The annual report consists of the financial statement and other information, as follows:

- Management's Discussion and Analysis introduces the financial statement and provides an analytical overview of the Telecommunications Board's financial activities.
- The Statement of Cash Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Cash Basis Net Assets – Governmental Funds presents information on the Telecommunication Board's operating receipts and disbursements, non-operating receipts and disbursements and whether the Telecommunications Board's financial position has improved or deteriorated as a result of the year's activities.
- The Notes to Financial Statement provide additional information essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the financial statement.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS BOARD

Statement of the Cash Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Cash Basis Net Assets -- Governmental Funds

The purpose of the statement is to present the receipts received by the Telecommunications Board and the disbursements paid by the Telecommunications Board, both operating and non-operating. The statement also presents a fiscal snapshot of the cash balance at year-end. Over time, readers of the financial statement are able to determine the Telecommunications Board's cash basis financial position by analyzing the increase and decrease in cash basis net assets.

Operating receipts are received for assessments from the members of the Telecommunications Board and E-911 Service Board fees. Operating disbursements are disbursements paid to operate the Call Center. Non-operating receipts are for interest on investments. A summary of cash receipts, disbursements and changes in cash basis net assets – governmental funds for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 is presented below:

	Year Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Operating Receipts:		
Member assessments	\$ 373,165	\$ 355,352
Webster County E-911 service board fees	46,351	45,000
Total operating receipts	419,516	400,352
Operating Disbursements:		
Salaries and benefits	371,429	367,922
Maintenance	3,574	4,572
Terminal billings	11,760	12,828
Office supplies	2,870	4,045
Utilities	8,023	8,540
Professional services	11,422	3,725
Insurance	617	981
Miscellaneous	4,354	3,515
Total operating disbursements	414,049	406,128
Excess (deficiency) of operating receipts over (under) operating disbursements	5,467	(5,776)
Non-operating receipts:		
Interest on investments	349	41
Change in cash basis net assets	5,816	(5,735)
Cash basis net assets beginning of year	52,494	58,229
Cash basis net assets end of year	\$ 58,310	\$ 52,494

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS BOARD (Continued)

Statement of the Cash Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Cash Basis Net Assets -- Governmental Funds (Continued)

In fiscal 2012, operating receipts increased 4.79%, or \$19,164, from fiscal 2011. Member assessment receipts increased 5.01% from fiscal 2011 while other receipts increased 3%. In fiscal 2012, operating disbursements increased \$7,921, over fiscal 2011. The increase in disbursements was primarily due to increased personnel costs and professional services expenses.

DEBT ADMINISTRATION

At June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Telecommunications Board had no long-term debt outstanding.

ECONOMIC FACTORS

The financial position of the Telecommunications Board increased in the current fiscal year. The current condition of the economy in the state continues to be a concern for Telecommunications Board officials. Some of the realities that may potentially become challenges for the Telecommunications Board to meet are:

- Cost of living increases.
- Cost of insurance increases.
- The cost of benefits for long-term employees.

The Telecommunications Board anticipates the current fiscal year will be very much like the last and will maintain a close watch over resources to maintain the Telecommunications Board's ability to react to unknown issues.

CONTACTING THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS BOARD'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers and creditors with a general overview of the Telecommunications Board's finances and to show its accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the Webster County Metropolitan Law Enforcement Telecommunications Board, 702 1st Avenue S., Fort Dodge, Iowa 50501.

**WEBSTER COUNTY METROPOLITAN
LAW ENFORCEMENT TELECOMMUNICATIONS BOARD**

FINANCIAL STATEMENT

**WEBSTER COUNTY METROPOLITAN
LAW ENFORCEMENT TELECOMMUNICATIONS BOARD
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS AND CHANGES IN
CASH BASIS NET ASSETS -- GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
As of and For the Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011**

Exhibit A

	2012	2011
Operating Receipts:		
Member assessments	\$ 373,165	\$ 355,352
Webster County E-911 service board fees	46,351	45,000
Total operating receipts	419,516	400,352
Operating Disbursements:		
Salaries and benefits	371,429	367,922
Maintenance	3,574	4,572
Terminal billings	11,760	12,828
Office supplies	2,870	4,045
Utilities	8,023	8,540
Professional services	11,422	3,725
Insurance	617	981
Miscellaneous	4,354	3,515
Total operating disbursements	414,049	406,128
Excess (deficiency) of operating receipts over (under) operating disbursements	5,467	(5,776)
Non-operating Receipts:		
Interest on investments	349	41
Change in cash basis net assets	5,816	(5,735)
Cash basis net assets beginning of year	52,494	58,229
Cash basis net assets end of year	\$ 58,310	\$ 52,494
Cash Basis Net Assets		
Unrestricted	\$ 58,310	\$ 52,494

See Notes to Financial Statement.

**WEBSTER COUNTY METROPOLITAN
LAW ENFORCEMENT TELECOMMUNICATIONS BOARD**

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Webster County Metropolitan Law Enforcement Telecommunications Board was formed in 1975 pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 28E of the Code of Iowa. The Telecommunications Board is to provide uniform law enforcement and emergency communications to the citizens of Webster County.

The Telecommunications Board is composed of two representatives appointed by the Webster County Board of Supervisors, three representatives appointed by the Fort Dodge City Council and two representatives appointed from the remaining member cities. The participating cities are Badger, Barnum, Callender, Clare, Dayton, Duncombe, Fort Dodge, Gowrie, Harcourt, Lehigh, Moorland, Otho and Vincent.

A. Reporting Entity

For financial reporting purposes, the Telecommunications Board has included all funds and organizations. The Telecommunications Board has also considered all organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the Telecommunications Board are such that exclusion would cause the Telecommunications Board's financial statement to be misleading or incomplete. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board has set forth criteria to be considered in determining financial accountability. These criteria include appointing a voting majority of an organization's governing body and (1) the ability of the Telecommunications Board to impose its will on that organization or (2) the potential for the organization to provide specific benefits to or impose specific financial burdens on the Telecommunications Board. The Telecommunications Board has no component units that meet the Governmental Accounting Standards Board criteria.

B. Basis of Presentation

The accounts of the Telecommunications Board are organized as an Enterprise Fund. Enterprise Funds are utilized to finance and account for the acquisition, operation, and maintenance of governmental facilities and services supported by user charges.

Enterprise Funds distinguish operating receipts and disbursements from non-operating items. Operating receipts and disbursements generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with an Enterprise Fund's principal ongoing operations. All receipts and disbursements not meeting this definition are reported as non-operating receipts and disbursements.

C. Basis of Accounting

The Webster County Metropolitan Law Enforcement Telecommunication's Board maintains its financial records on the basis of cash receipts and disbursements and the financial statement of the Telecommunications Board is prepared on that basis. The cash basis of accounting does not give effect to accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued items. Accordingly, the financial statement does not present the financial position and results of operations of the Telecommunications Board in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

NOTE 2. CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The Telecommunications Board's deposits in banks at June 30, 2012 and 2011 were entirely covered by Federal Depository Insurance or by the State Sinking Fund in accordance with Chapter 12C of the Code of Iowa. This chapter provides for additional assessments against the depositories to insure there will be no loss of public funds.

The Telecommunications Board is authorized by statute to invest public funds in obligations of the United States government, its agencies and instrumentalities; certificates of deposit or other evidences of deposit at federally insured depository institutions approved by the Board of Directors; prime eligible bankers' acceptances; certain high rated commercial paper; perfected repurchase agreements; certain registered open-end management investment companies; certain joint investment trusts; and warrants or improvement certificates of a drainage district.

At June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Telecommunications Board had investments in the Iowa Public Agency Investment Trust that are valued at an amortized cost of \$15,840 and \$29,835, respectively, pursuant to Rule 2a-7 under the Investment Company Act of 1940. The investment in the Iowa Public Agency Investment Trust is unrated for credit risk purpose.

At June 30, 2012 and 2011, as a result of a demutualization, the Telecommunications Board had an investment in available-for-sale common stock that had a fair value of \$10,203 and \$11,833, respectively, at each year-end. The balance of this investment is not included within the cash basis net assets of the Telecommunications Board.

NOTE 3. PENSION AND RETIREMENT BENEFITS

The Telecommunications Board contributes to the Iowa Public Employees Retirement System (IPERS) which is a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the State of Iowa. IPERS provides retirement and death benefits, which are established by State statute to plan members and beneficiaries. IPERS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. The report may be obtained by writing to IPERS, P. O. Box 9117, Des Moines, Iowa 50306-9117.

Plan members are required to contribute 5.38% of their annual covered salary and the Telecommunications Board is required to contribute 8.07% of annual covered payroll. Contribution requirements are established by State statute. The Telecommunications Board's contributions to IPERS for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010 were \$25,171, \$20,367 and \$21,255, respectively, equal to the required contributions for each year.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

NOTE 4. RISK MANAGEMENT

The Telecommunications Board is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. These risks are covered by the purchase of commercial insurance. The Telecommunications Board assumes liability for any deductibles and claims in excess of coverage limitations. Settled claims from these risks have not exceeded commercial insurance coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

NOTE 5. OPERATING LEASE AGREEMENT

The Telecommunications Board entered into a lease for a copy machine during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. The lease has been classified as an operating lease. The lease requires monthly payments of \$104 and expires during the fiscal year ending June 30, 2017.

The following is a schedule of future minimum rental payments required under the operating lease that has an initial or remaining non-cancelable lease term in excess of one year as of June 30, 2012:

Year Ending June 30,	Amount
2013	1,248
2014	1,248
2015	1,248
2016	1,248
2017	104
Total	\$ 5,096

Rental disbursements for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 totaled \$1,323 and \$2,136, respectfully.

NOTE 6. COMPENSATED ABSENCES

The Telecommunications Board's employees accumulate a limited amount of earned but unused vacation hours for subsequent use or for payment upon termination, retirement or death. This accumulation is not recognized as a disbursement by the Telecommunications Board until used or paid. The Telecommunications Board's approximate liability for earned vacation payable to employees at June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$17,396 and \$13,669, respectively. This liability has been computed based on rates of pay in effect at June 30, 2012 and 2011.

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT
ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON
AN AUDIT OF A FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

**WEBSTER COUNTY METROPOLITAN
LAW ENFORCEMENT TELECOMMUNICATIONS BOARD**



SCHNURR & COMPANY, LLP
Certified Public Accountants and Consultants

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT
ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON
AN AUDIT OF A FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

To the Members of the Webster County Metropolitan
Law Enforcement Telecommunications Board:

We have audited the accompanying financial statement of the Webster County Metropolitan Law Enforcement Telecommunications Board as of and for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated March 25, 2013. Our report expressed unqualified opinions on the financial statements that were prepared in conformity with an other comprehensive basis of accounting. We conducted our audit in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Webster County Metropolitan Law Enforcement Telecommunications Board's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the effectiveness of the Webster County Metropolitan Law Enforcement Telecommunications Board's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Webster County Metropolitan Law Enforcement Telecommunications Board internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses, and therefore, there can be no assurance all deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, we identified a deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a material weakness.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of the control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility a material misstatement of the Telecommunications Board's financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in Part II of the accompanying Schedule of Findings to be a material weakness.

A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Webster County Metropolitan Law Enforcement Telecommunications Board's financial statement is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. We noted certain immaterial instances of non-compliance or other matters that are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings.

Comments involving statutory and other legal matters about the Telecommunications Board's operations for the year ended June 30, 2012 are based exclusively on knowledge obtained from procedures performed during our audit of the financial statement of the Telecommunications Board. Since our audit was based on tests and samples, not all transactions that might have had an impact on the comments were necessarily audited. The comments involving statutory and other legal matters are not intended to constitute legal interpretations of those statutes.

The Webster County Metropolitan Law Enforcement Telecommunications Board's responses to findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings. While we have expressed our conclusions on the Telecommunications Board's responses, we did not audit the Webster County Metropolitan Law Enforcement Telecommunications Board's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report, a public record by law, is intended solely for the information and use of the members and customers of the Webster County Metropolitan Law Enforcement Telecommunications Board and other parties to whom the Telecommunications Board may report. This report is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

We would like to acknowledge the many courtesies and assistance extended to us by personnel of the Webster County Metropolitan Law Enforcement Telecommunications Board during the course of our audit. Should you have any questions concerning any of the above matters, we shall be pleased to discuss them with you at your convenience.

Scharr & Company, LLP

Fort Dodge, Iowa
March 25, 2013

**WEBSTER COUNTY METROPOLITAN
LAW ENFORCEMENT TELECOMMUNICATIONS BOARD**

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS

Year ended June 30, 2012

FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT:

MATERIAL WEAKNESS:

(A) Accounting Procedures Manual – The Board currently does not have an accounting and office procedures manual. We encourage the development of an office procedures and standardized accounting manual. The manual should provide the following benefits:

- (1) Aid in training additional or replacement personnel.
- (2) Help achieve uniformity in accounting and in the application of policies and procedures for the Board.

Recommendation – An office procedures and accounting manual should be prepared.

Reponses – The Board will work toward getting an accounting manual together between the accounting service and the Communication Center, which defines the Board's procedures.

Conclusion – Response accepted.

INSTANCES OF NON-COMPLIANCE:

No matters were noted.

OTHER FINDINGS RELATED TO REQUIRED STATUTORY REPORTING:

- (1) Questionable Disbursements – No expenditures that may not meet the requirements of public purpose as defined in an Attorney General's opinion dated April 25, 1979 were noted.
- (2) Travel Expense – No disbursements of Telecommunications Board money for travel expenses of spouses of Board officials or employees were noted.
- (3) Business Transactions – No business transactions between the Telecommunications Board and Telecommunications Board officials or employees were noted.
- (4) Board Minutes – No transactions were found that we believe should have been approved in the Telecommunications Board minutes but were not.

**WEBSTER COUNTY METROPOLITAN LAW
ENFORCEMENT TELECOMMUNICATIONS BOARD**

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS (Continued)

Year ended June 30, 2012

OTHER FINDINGS RELATED TO REQUIRED STATUTORY REPORTING (Continued):

- (5) Deposits and Investments – Except as noted below, no instances of non-compliance with the deposit and investment provisions of Chapter 12B and Chapter 12C of the Code of Iowa were noted.

The Telecommunications Board has not adopted a written investment policy as required by Chapter 12B.10B of the Code of Iowa.

Recommendation – The Telecommunications Board should adopt a written investment policy to comply with the provisions of Chapter 12B.10B of the Code of Iowa.

Response – The Board is in the process of adopting a written investment policy. The only investment account we currently use is IPAIT.

Conclusion – Response accepted.

We would like to acknowledge the many courtesies and assistance extended to us by personnel of the Members of the Webster County Metropolitan Law Enforcement Telecommunications Board during the course of our audit. Should you have any questions concerning any of the above matters, we shall be pleased to discuss them with you at your convenience.

Scharr & Company, LLP

Fort Dodge, Iowa
March 25, 2013